

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,548	05/02/2001	Haruo Tajima	01250/LH	6005	
1933	7590 03/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC			SIEFKE, S.	SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
767 THIRD A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	NY 10017-2023		1743		
	DATE MAILED:		DATE MAILED: 03/22/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

for a second	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/A				
		1	11				
Advisory Action	09/847,548	TAJIMA ET AL.	<del></del>				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel P Siefke	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	iress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) L they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:	,						
Claim(s) rejected: 11-13.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			i				
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet		<del></del>					

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 11-13 stand rejected as being anticipated by King (USPN 5,633,724). The applicant argues, "that the apparatus disclosed in King does not enable samples to be analyzed effectively by fluorescence-pumped fluorescent substances while downsizing and facilitating the apparatus itself by using a low output light source." King discloses every component in the current application and is structurably capable of analyzing samples that are fluorescence-pumped by an evanescent wave. A "low output light source" is not claimed, only a light source is claimed in claim 11. Applicant argues, "the light flux width of incident light cannot be widened, and it is only possible to analyzed samples of the number respnsive to the area of the corresponding light flux width." Examine would like to point to col. 14, lines 26-59, where King describes using a fiber lazer that typically has optical gain, the evancescent field in the unclad region forming the TIR surface will be very large. King discloses analyzing at least one sample as recognized by the applicant, but the Exainer would like to remind the Applicant that at least one does not limit the sample size to just one sample but multiple samples.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700